



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/858,299	05/15/2001	Zezhang Hou	AUD1P004C1	2952	
22434	7590 03/08/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			PENDLETON, DIONNE		
P.O. BOX 70 OAKLAND,)250 CA 94612-0250		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2646		

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/858,299	HOU, ZEZHANG				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Dionne H. Pendleton	2646				
Perio	The MAILING DATE of this communication app od for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
-	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI , cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Statu	ıs						
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
		_· action is non-final.					
) Since this application is in condition for allowar		ters prosecution as to the merits is				
_	closed in accordance with the practice under E		•				
Disp	osition of Claims		·				
4)☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicatio	ın.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,9-23 and 26-39</u> are subject to re	estriction and/or election r	equirement.				
Appl	ication Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Prior	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior		received in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the centitied copies not	received.				
A •							
_	ment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A)	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) —·				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Group I: illustrated in figure 3 (appears to be described in independent claims 1,7 and 22).

Group II: illustrated in figure 4 (appears to be described in independent claims 23 and 27).

Group III: illustrated in figure 6 (appears to be described in independent claims 37 and 39).

The species are independent or distinct because the species as claimed, do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive. For example, the "optimal delay determination unit" of figure 3, need not contain the particular construction as illustrated in figure 4.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there are no generic claims.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, <u>and a listing of all claims</u> readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. A telephone call was made to Attorney C. Douglass Thomas on 3/1/2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dionne H. Pendleton whose telephone number is 571-272-7497. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on 571-272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dionne Pendleton

SUHAN NI PRIMARY EXAMINER